



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,401	09/12/2005	Clifford Charles Shone	MSQ01-003-US	2849
43320                      7590                      05/29/2009 EVAN LAW GROUP LLC 600 WEST JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 625 CHICAGO, IL 60661				
EXAMINER				
GANGLÉ, BRIAN J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1645				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/29/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/521,401	SHONE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian J. Gangle	1645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Brian J. Gangle. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Paul Rauch. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 62 and 63.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired as to the finality of the office action mailed 3/19/2009, specifically with regard to the rejection of claims 62-63. Applicant and the examiner agreed that an amendment of claims 62-63 to correct the 35 USC 112, second paragraph issues would likely be entered after final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian J. Gangle/ Examiner, Art Unit 1645	/Robert B Mondesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1645
--	--